

6800 Burleson Road, Building 310, Suite 165 Austin, Texas 78744-2306

Ph: 512-916-6000 Fax: 512-916-6001

www.capcog.org

BASTROP BLANCO BURNET CALDWELL FAYETTE HAYS LEE LLANO TRAVIS WILLIAMSON

MEMORANDUM 4/4/2022

TO: Criminal Justice Advisory Committee

FROM: Andrew Hoekzema, Director of Regional Planning and Services

RE: Summary of CJAC Funding Recommendations for Plan Year 2023

The CJAC met on March 30 to consider funding recommendations for the five grant categories that the Office of the Governor (OOG) requires CAPCOG to provide a funding recommendation for in addition to a score and rank:

- 1. General Victims Services (VC/VOCA);
- 2. Violence Against Women Justice and Training Program (WF/VAWA);
- 3. Truancy Prevention (TP);
- 4. Juvenile Justice (JJ); and
- 5. Criminal Justice Program (DJ/JAG).

Each applicant who presented to the CJAC was asked to identify a reduced funding request that they could accept and how that reduction would impact their program. Using this information, CAPCOG generated three initial options for the CJAC to consider for each grant category:

- 1. Full funding for all projects scoring at least 70.
- 2. Full funding for all projects scoring 85 100 and partial funding for projects scoring 70-85; and
- 3. Partial funding for all projects scoring 70 100.

For the WF/VAWA, TP, JJ, and DJ/JAG categories, the CJAC recommended option 1, while for the VC/VOCA category, they recommended option 2. The following table summarizes the total funding requested, total funding recommended, RBEs, and notes about the CJAC's basis for the funding recommendation.

Program	Requested	Recommended	RBE	Notes
VC/VOCA	\$10,158,615.69	\$9,433,325.77 for 20	\$7,804,844.40	Full funding for projects over 85,
	from 23	projects		partial funding for projects 70-85.
	applications			Vote was unanimous.
VW/VAWA	\$763,403.21	\$567,873.21 for 4	\$353,1690.69	Full funding for all projects
	from 5	projects		scoring at least 70. Vote was
	applications			unanimous.

Program	Requested	Recommended	RBE	Notes
TP	\$1,583,785.45 from 4 applications	\$1,108,532.00 for 2 projects	\$1,467,961.22	Full funding for all projects scoring at least 70. Vote was unanimous.
IJ	\$396,232.84 from 9 applications	\$374,232.84 for 8 projects	\$219,253.06	Full funding for all projects scoring at least 70. Two "no" votes. These two had proposed alternatives, including reduced funding for all projects and a reduction in the amount for 1 application (Council on At-Risk Youth) based on amount budgeted for indirect. Note: Reduced funding would also affect future funding based on CAPCOG's policies.
DJ/JAG	\$845,760.39 from 11 applications	\$669,260.39 for 9 applications; full funding for all projects scoring at least 70	\$743,530.31	Except for Travis County, full funding for all projects scoring at least 70. Travis County withdrew its application between its presentation and the March 30 th meeting and therefore was not recommended for funding. Another application scored below 70 and was therefore not recommended for funding. Note: Reduced funding would also affect future funding based on CAPCOG's policies.

A few general notes:

- A total of 7 applications received a default score of "0" as a result of failure to attend one of CAPCOG's mandatory grant writing workshops offered in December and January. \$763,403.21 was requested from 5 applicants in the VF/VAWA grant category.¹
- The Office of the Governor (OOG) provided a Regional Budget Estimate (RBE) for this funding category of \$353,190.69, so total funding recommended exceeds the RBE, which is less than the total amount of funding recommended by the CJAC. However, the OOG also stated that "CJACs are encouraged to recommend eligible projects beyond the RBE amounts shown below, in the event more funding becomes available." And in a phone conversation we had with OOG on 3/28, they indicated that the RBEs should not be the sole basis for a reduction in recommended funding for an application. For information purposes, the scoring/ranking tables does bracket which projects might be "on the bubble" for funding if

_

¹ CAPCOG's Policy Statement states, "To be considered for participation in CAPCOG's CJAC application review and prioritization process, a representative of the applying organization is required to attend a grant application workshop."

the RBE was all that was available, depending on the funding recommendation approach described above.

General Victim Assistance Grant Requests for Plan Year 2023

REGIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATE: \$7,804,844.40

Applicant	Application #	Score	Rank	Requested	Recommended
Hays County Women's Center	1366421	95.5	1	\$1,246,582.00	\$1,246,582.00
Highland Lakes Family Crisis Center	1366821	94.7	2	\$412,682.23	\$412,682.23
Bastrop County Women Shelter	2903005	94.3	3	\$335,430.00	\$335,430.00
The SAFE Alliance	1400522	93.1	4	\$1,878,648.00	\$1,878,648.00
Williamson County Crisis Center dba Hope Alliance	2884905	92.8	5	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00
City of Taylor	4453401	91.6	6	\$62,915.16	\$62,915.16
Helping Hand Home for Children	3633304	90.3	7	\$365,946.00	\$365,946.00
The Settlement Home for Children	3947603	89.8	8	\$364,216.11	\$364,216.11
Travis County	4426201	89.4	9	\$164,209.00	\$164,209.00
City of Bastrop	4472401	89.3	10	\$62,376.87	\$62,376.87
Burnet County	3073005	89.1	11	\$56,434.00	\$56,434.00
SAHELI dba Asian Family Support Services of Austin	2551010	87.5	12	\$1,542,796.48	\$1,542,796.48
Hays County	4028003	87.4	13	\$49,860.97	\$49,860.97
American Gateway	1511521	86.1	14	\$487,262.42	\$487,262.42
City of Austin	2827407	85.2	15	\$886,992.00	\$886,992.00
City of Buda	4451401	84.9	16	\$74,984.00	\$69,000.00
The Ecumenical Center	4228002	84.7	17	\$91,007.93	\$75,536.58
Impact Counseling Services Inc.	4427101	83.5	18	\$314,982.60	\$283,484.34
YWCA Greater Alliance	4510801	83.1	19	\$150,000.00	\$135,000.00
Austin Child Guidance Center	3074906	80.8	20	\$486,000.00	\$358,000.00
Brave Alliance Mission	4504401	0.0	23	\$151,854.00	\$0.00
Del Valle ISD	4462901	0.0	23	\$442,750.00	\$0.00
Volunteer Legal Services	3600204	0.0	23	\$130,685.92	\$0.00
TOTAL	n/a	n/a	n/a	10,158,615.69	\$9,237,372.16

^{*}For this category, CJAC Recommended full funding for projects scoring 85+, partial for projects scoring 70-85. Brave Alliance, Del Valle ISD, and Volunteer Legal Services were assigned default scores of 0 due to failure to attend mandatory grant workshop as required by CAPCOG's Policy Statement. All projects above black line fit within the RBE.

Violence Against Women Justice and Training Program Grant Requests for Plan Year 2023

REGIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATE: \$353,190.69

Applicant	Application ID	Score	Rank	Requested	Recommended
Williamson County	4219102	93.0	1	\$111,290.90	\$111,290.90
City of Austin	3599505	90.4	2	\$88,212.00	\$88,212.00
Highland Lakes Family Crisis Center	4277402	88.3	3	\$99,868.59	\$99,868.59
SAHELI dba Asian Family Support Services of Austin	4233902	85.8	4	\$268,501.72	\$268,501.72
Brave Alliance Mission	4485401	0.0	5	\$195,530.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$763,403.21	\$567,873.21

^{*}For this category, CJAC Recommended full funding for all projects scoring 70+ (all of which also scored above 85). Brave Alliance was assigned a default score of 0 and \$0 minimum requested due to failure to attend mandatory grant workshop as required by CAPCOG's Policy Statement. All projects above black line fit within the RBE.

Truancy Prevention Grant Requests for Plan Year 2023

REGIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATE: \$1,467,961.22

Applicant	Application #	Score	Rank	Requested	Recommended
Manor ISD	4471301	83.6	1	\$921,120.00	\$921,120.00
City of Austin	3887204	80.3	2	\$187,412.00	\$187,412.00
Blanco ISD	3890704	0.0	4	\$21,042.45	\$0.00
Del Valle ISD	3982403	0.0	4	\$454,211.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$1,583,785.45	\$1,108,532.00

For this category, CJAC Recommended full funding for projects scoring 70+. Blanco ISD and Del Valle ISD were assigned default score of 0 and \$0 minimum requested due to failure to attend mandatory grant workshop as required by CAPCOG's Policy Statement. As a result of this policy, the recommended funding does not reach the RBE for this grant category.

Juvenile Justice Grant Requests for Plan Year 2023

REGIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATE: \$219,253.06

Applicant	Application #	Score	Rank	Requested	Recommended
Williamson County	4249102	92.3	1	\$16,000.00	\$16,000.00
Arc of the Capital Area	4454201	91.1	2	\$85,329.84	\$85,329.84
Council on At-Risk Youth	3385106	90.8	3	\$39,000.00	\$39,000.00
Austin ISD	4464701	87.9	4	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central Texas	4423801	87.5	5	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
American Youthworks	4469101	87.3	6	\$30,503.00	\$30,503.00
Austin Bat Cave	4442201	86.6	7	\$10,400.00	\$10,400.00
YMCA Greater Austin	4529201	86.2	8	\$93,000.00	\$93,000.00
Austin Recovery Inc.	4481301	0.0	9	\$22,000.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$396,232.84	\$374,232.84

For this category, CJAC Recommended full funding for projects scoring 70+. Note: Austin Recovery Inc. was assigned default score of 0 and \$0 minimum requested due to failure to attend mandatory grant workshop as required by CAPCOG's Policy Statement. All projects above black line fit within the RBE.

Criminal Justice Program Grant Requests for Plan Year 2023

REGIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATE: \$743,530.31

Applicant	Application #	Score	Rank	Requested	Recommended
City of Austin	4205902	94.1	1	\$127,000.00	\$127,000.00
Travis County	4449001	90.7	2	\$33,000.00	\$0.00
Blanco County	4478401	89.5	3	\$103,090.71	\$103,090.71
Bastrop County	3955103	88.8	4	\$50,381.78	\$50,381.78
Hays County	3825003	88.5	5	\$58,405.90	\$58,405.90
Fayette County	4497501	88.4	6	\$55,950.00	\$55,950.00
Austin ISD (1st priority application)	4433101	87.3	7	\$98,000.00	\$98,000.00
City of Pflugerville	3996303	87.1	8	\$20,190.00	\$20,190.00
Burnet County	4429701	78.7	9	\$105,000.00	\$105,000.00
Austin ISD (2 nd priority application)	3329406	89.3	10	\$51,242.00	\$51,242.00
MELJ	4478501	66.7	11	\$143,500.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$845,760.39	\$669,260.39

*For this category, CJAC Recommended full funding for projects scoring 70+. AISD submitted two applications, so it's 2^{nd-} priority application was considered after all 1st-priority grant applications that scored above 70. Travis County was scored, but subsequently notified CAPCOG that it was withdrawing its application, so \$0 was recommended for that application. As a result of the Policy Statement's restriction on recommending projects for funding that scored less than 70, total recommended funding is less than the RBE. All projects above black line fit within the RBE.